



## Stephen Hoffman

---

**From:** ecomment@pa.gov  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 17, 2020 9:55 PM  
**To:** Environment-Committee@pasenate.com; IRRC; environmentalcommittee@pahouse.net; regcomments@pa.gov; ntroutman@pasen.gov; timothy.collins@pasenate.com; gking@pahousegop.com; siversen@pahouse.net  
**Cc:** c-jflanagan@pa.gov  
**Subject:** Comment received - Proposed Rulemaking: CO2 Budget Trading Program (#7-559)

**CAUTION: \*\*EXTERNAL SENDER\*\*** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



### Re: eComment System

**The Department of Environmental Protection has received the following comments on Proposed Rulemaking: CO2 Budget Trading Program (#7-559).**

Commenter Information:

Joseph Dunfee  
 (cadcoke5@yahoo.com)  
 163 Maple St  
 Gordonville, PA 17529 US

Comments entered:

I have long been following the issues with concerns that CO2 emissions are causing global warming. It has a long history of dubious science, and a strong political component to it.

The fact that more of the temperature increase has occurred at night, strongly supports the idea that a lot of the data showing increase is triggered by urbanization, not CO2. This occurred because of the closures of many temperature stations from remote locations has caused us to have a larger percentage of data from areas that have undergone increased urbanization. Much of the data is coming from "poorly seated" temperature stations that decreases the reliability of the data, and artificially increases it. Comparing the poorly seated stations to ones that are also supports this conclusion.

There is a great deal of junk science being touted as evidence. For example, the Hockey Stick graph that Gore popularized and is still widely believed. But the paper that supported it was eventually withdrawn. Sadly, voices that criticize the narrative that is more popular with liberal politics, is often being suppressed. Our institutions have often rejected the idea of freedom to criticize. Yet is this sort of freedom that allows science to advance and self-correct. So, I have serious doubts that concerns over CO2 emissions are warranted.

Finally, our government takes an ever-increasing amount of money from us. And while the

proposed rule 7-559 may not have a deliberate goal to get more people on public assistance, this proposed act will have that effect. And once you are getting government money, you tend to vote for those who will continue it. Vote buying is what it turns into, and the socialist's goals are ultimately achieved.

Please don't support this new rule.

---

No attachments were included as part of this comment.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,  
Jessica Shirley

---

Jessica Shirley  
Director, Office of Policy  
PA Department of Environmental Protection  
Rachel Carson State Office Building  
P.O. Box 2063  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063  
Office: 717-783-8727  
Fax: 717-783-8926  
ecomment@pa.gov